

Threat	Priority Landscapes	Priority	Reason for Prioritization	SubActivity (Conservation Action)	Barriers to implementation and/or Level of Scientific Uncertainty	Is expert elicitation needed? (Y/N)
			have not been sufficient.		<p>Areas and Wilderness Areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Court-ordered injunction prohibiting the use of herbicides on all federally-administered lands • We currently do not have a good method to measure the extent of at-risk lands • Uncertainty regarding climate change 	
Conifer Expansion	Primary states include California, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, and Utah. Sage-grouse management zones III, IV, V and VII. However, conifer encroachment occurs throughout the species range on a localized basis.	Tier 1	Existing efforts are beginning to address this issue in the right locations but not at an adequate scale or pace . Action is needed to accelerate treatment and increase scale in key locations across multiple jurisdictions.	Conifer Removal: Phase I	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Insufficient financial resources to treat at needed scale • Prolonged NEPA process on federal lands • Cumbersome restrictions within Wilderness Study Areas and designated Wilderness • Administrative delays (e.g., government processing, contracting) • Most encroached sites are still in a state of transition • Uncertainty regarding the efficacy of removal (no long-term studies) • Methods for removal may provide other negative impacts. 	
				Conifer Removal: Phase II		
				Conifer Removal: Phase I and II		
				Conifer Removal: Phase III		

Comment [DP4]: We may want to break this into two columns – barriers and level of uncertainty – for clarity. While we are focusing on scientific uncertainty the addition of barrier information is very useful. But I think putting both into the same column is confusing.